Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)-Infected Monocytes Facilitate Dissemination of EBV within the Oral Mucosal Epithelium
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Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) causes hairy leukoplakia (HL), a benign lesion of oral epithelium that occurs primarily in the setting of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-associated immunodeficiency. However, the mechanisms of EBV infection of oral epithelium are poorly understood. Analysis of HL tissues shows a small number of EBV-positive intraepithelial macrophages and dendritic/Langerhans cells. To investigate a role for these cells in spreading EBV to epithelial cells, we used tongue and buccal explants infected ex vivo with EBV. We showed that EBV first infects submucosal CD14+ monocytes, which then migrate into the epithelium and spread virus to oral epithelial cells, initiating productive viral infection within the terminally differentiated spinosum and granulosum layers. Incubation of EBV-infected monocytes and oral explants with antibodies to CCR2 receptor and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 prevented entry of monocytes into the epithelium and inhibited EBV infection of keratinocytes. B lymphocytes played little part in the spread of EBV to keratinocytes in our explant model. However, cocultivation of EBV-infected B lymphocytes with uninfected monocytes in vitro showed that EBV may spread from B lymphocytes to monocytes. Circulating EBV-positive monocytes were detected in most HIV-infected individuals, consistent with a model in which EBV may be spread from B lymphocytes to monocytes, which then enter the epithelium and initiate productive viral infection of keratinocytes.

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is a human herpesvirus with oncogenic potential, contributing to the development of lymphoproliferative diseases of B lymphocytes and nasopharyngeal carcinoma (18). EBV infects about 90% of the human population, but in most immunocompetent individuals EBV persists in latent form and does not cause any significant disease. During human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-associated immunosuppression, however, EBV may reactivate and may be associated with development of a benign lesion of oral mucosal epithelium known as hairy leukoplakia (HL) (12–14). The histopathology of HL includes acanthosis, irregular hyperparakeratosis, and balloon cell formation within the spinosum and granulosum layers of the epithelium, which may result from high-level EBV replication (14).

HL is a common lesion in HIV-positive patients with low CD4+ counts, suggesting that immunosuppression is an important factor in its development. The source of the EBV in HL is not known. Several lines of evidence support hematogenous spread from circulating white blood cells (WBC) (11, 29). The main reservoir of latent EBV infection in the body is memory B lymphocytes, but mechanisms of spread from cells in the blood compartment to the mucosal epithelium are not known. Furthermore, HL epithelium may support both latent and lytic replication of EBV (43), with lytic EBV replication and cell-to-cell spread of virions restricted exclusively to the terminally differentiated stratum spinosum and granulosum layers (28, 31, 44). Neither the mechanisms by which EBV enters and establishes productive infection in these cell layers nor the reasons for its absence in the basal and parabasal cell layers are understood.

In this work we investigated EBV infection and dissemination in HL biopsy specimens in freshly isolated normal tongue and buccal explants infected ex vivo with EBV. Analysis of HL sections showed that intraepithelial macrophages and Langerhans cells (LC) were positive for EBV. Cocultivation of oral explants with EBV-infected monocytes led to migration of these monocytes/macrophages/LC into mucosal epithelium and spread of virus within the terminally differentiated oral keratinocytes. Consistent with this mechanism of EBV infection of oral epithelium, we confirmed the presence of circulating EBV-infected monocytes in HIV-positive individuals. We further showed that B lymphocytes can transmit EBV to monocytes in vitro, suggesting that these cells may be the ultimate source of EBV infection of monocytes. Our data show for the first time that EBV-infected monocytes/macrophages/LC may migrate into oral epithelium and may facilitate dissemination of EBV to oral keratinocytes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

HL tissue biopsy samples. Biopsy samples of HL tongue tissue containing epithelium and connective tissue were obtained using 4-mm-diameter biopsy punches from 19 HIV-positive individuals. These biopsy samples were collected between 1986 and 1997, and the tissues were frozen and stored in the tissue bank of the Oral AIDS Center Clinic of the Department of Orofacial Sciences, University of California, San Francisco. The biopsy tissues were sectioned in 7-μm-thick slices.
Establishment of an ex vivo oral tissue system for EBV infection. Fresh biopsy samples of tongue and buccal mucosa containing epithelium and connective tissue were obtained using 4-mm-diameter biopsy punches from 25 healthy HIV-seronegative volunteers (age range, 30 to 41 years; 15 males and 10 females) who had no inflammation in the oral cavity. Some of these individuals donated their tissues more than once. Approval for this project was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, San Francisco. Immediately after biopsy, the tissues were placed in a tube with 2 ml of RPMI medium (equal volume) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 20 mM Hepes, 100 mM glutamine, 20 μg/mL gentamicin, 200 μM penicillin, 200 μg/mL streptomycin, and 50 ng/mL amphotericin B. Tissues were washed three times with cold explant medium and placed in 24-well plates with 1 mL of explant medium.

Cell-free EBV infection of oral tissue explants. To infect tissue explants, we used the B95-8 strain of EBV. Virus was propagated by inducing B95-8 cells with 30 ng/mL phosphorol 12-myristate 13-acetate and 4 μM butyric acid (both from Sigma) for 10 days. Virus was then concentrated using a Centricon-10 concentrator (Millipore), and viral titers was determined by quantitative real-time PCR using BZLF-1-specific primers (forward, 5'-AAA TTT AGA AGA TCG TGT AA ACA TC-3'; reverse, 5'-GCC CTC CTC TTG CGG CAG AT-3'). For some experiments we concentrated cell-free EBV B95-8 virus from Advanced Bio-technologies Inc. (ABI). Tissue explants were infected with 10⁶ cell-free B95-8 virions per explant and incubated for 1 h at 37°C on a shaker. Under these conditions, the virus had access to tissue from the epithelial and stromal surfaces. EBV-infected tissues were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂, and one-third of the medium from each well was changed daily. The tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded with optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT), and sections for 1 h. The HL sections and tongue and buccal sections infected ex vivo were incubated overnight with blocking buffer containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.2. Before incubation with EBV were incubated overnight with blocking buffer containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.2.

To detect individual EBV proteins, we used the following antibodies: mouse monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) to gp350/220 (ABI), BZLF-1 (Argene), and rat antiserum to VCA p18 (Virostat). For immunostaining of keratinocytes and junctional proteins we used rabbit antibody to keratin (Sigma), and rabbit antibodies to ZO-1 (Zymed). For immunostaining of keratinocytes and junctional proteins we used rabbit antibodies to keratin (Sigma), and rabbit antibodies to ZO-1 (Zymed).

Antibodies and immunofluorescence laser scanning confocal microscopy. Immune serum from a nasopharyngeal carcinoma patient with a high titer for EBV VCA was used for immunostaining EBV proteins. To reduce background signals, the anti-EBV human serum was incubated with EBV-negative normal tissues sections for 1 h. The HL sections and tongue and buccal sections infected ex vivo with EBV were incubated overnight with blocking buffer containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.2. Before incubation with EBV-specific human serum, tissue sections were incubated with anti-EBV negative human serum (Phalanx, BioVentures, Inc.) and 10% normal mouse serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Inc.) and 10% FCS. Fab fragment of goat anti-human immunoglobulin G (Jackson Immunonochemicals) for 1 h.

To detect individual EBV proteins, we used the following antibodies: mouse monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) to gp350/220 (ABI), BZLF-1 (Argene), and rat antiserum to VCA p18 (Virostat). For immunostaining of keratinocytes and junctional proteins we used rabbit antibody to keratin (Sigma), and rabbit antibodies to ZO-1 (Zymed). For staining of immune cells we used mouse MAB (BD Biosciences Pharmingen) and rat antibody to CD1a (marker for LC) (Biosource); mouse MAB and rabbit antibody to CD14 (marker for monocytes/macrophages) (Cell Science Inc.); mouse MAB (Dako) and goat antibody (R&D Systems) to CD68 (marker for macrophages); mouse MABs to CD20 and CD19 (B lymphocytes), CD3 (T cells), and CD68 (marker for activated LC and macrophages) (all from BD Biosciences Pharmingen). Mouse antibody to CD138 (marker for differentiated B lymphocytes) was purchased from US Biological. All immunostaining was performed using double or triple immunofluorescence assays with antibodies to EBV proteins and immune cell or keratinocyte markers. Secondary antibodies conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate, Texas red, or Cy5 were purchased from Jackson Immunonochemicals. The specificity of each antibody was confirmed by negative staining with corresponding isotype control antibodies. Cell nuclei were counterstained with TO-PRO-3 iodide (Molecular Probes) (blue). For quantitative analysis the sections of HL and EBV-infected oral explants were stained with anti-EBV human serum and antibodies to immune cells and markers, and the EBV-positive cells expressing immune cell markers were counted. EBV-infected oral keratinocytes were detected by staining with anti-EBV human serum and anti-keratin 1 antibody. The size of sections in all experiments was approximately 12 mm². For each staining a minimum of five sections was used, and the number of EBV-infected immune and epithelial cells in mucosal epithelium was counted within the entire epithelium of the section. Immunostained tissue sections were analyzed with a Bio-Rad MRC1024 confocal microscope.

**Western blot assay.** Gradient-purified EBV virions (ABI) were extracted with radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer containing 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, Tris, pH 8.0, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors: phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (1 mM), aprotinin (10 μg/mL),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Presence of EBV-positive LC and macrophages in HL mucosal epithelium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tissue type and designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a EBV virions were detected in intrasplenic WBC by electron microscopy.
* b T, tongue; B, buccal.
* c Values are means ± standard deviations.
FIG. 1. Analysis of EBV-infected immune cells in HL lesions. (A) Total proteins of purified EBV virions were analyzed using a Western blot assay with EBV-positive and -negative human sera. MW, molecular weight (in thousands). (B) HL sections were communostained with EBV-negative human serum, GR, granulosum; SP, spinosum; BL, basal lamina. (C) Normal tongue sections were communostained with EBV-positive human serum (green) and MAb to CD68 (red). Only merged panels are shown. (D and E) HL sections were communostained with EBV-specific immune serum (green) and MABs to CD68 or CD1a markers (red). (F) HL section cointained with MAB to BZLF-1 (green) and rabbit antibodies to CD14 (red). (D to F) Yellow in merged panels shows colocalization of EBV proteins with immune cell markers. (B to F) Cell nuclei were stained in blue. (G) Detection of EBV-infected WBC by electron microscopy in the suprabasal layer of HL tissue (green arrowheads). The inset shows a magnified EBV virion.

leupeptin (10 μg/ml) and pepstatin A (10 μg/ml). The viral proteins were separated on a 4 to 20% gradient Tris-glycine gel (Invitrogen) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Eschborn, Germany). EBV proteins were analyzed by using EBV-positive serum from a nasopharyngeal carcinoma patient and normal serum from an EBV-seronegative individual (Blackhawk BioSystem, Inc.). Protein bands were visualized using the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

Quantitation of EBV copy numbers in B lymphocytes and monocytes using a QC-PCR assay. We analyzed EBV copy numbers in peripheral blood B lymphocytes and monocytes from 50 HIV-negative (mean age, 36 years) and 28 HIV-positive (mean age, 47 years) individuals. CD14+ monocytes and CD19+ B lymphocytes were isolated from PBMC using anti-CD14 and anti-CD19 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec). The purity of monocytes and B lymphocytes was monitored by FACS assay using antibodies to CD14 and CD20, respectively.

Total DNA was extracted using the QiAamp blood kit (QIAGEN Inc.). Twenty nanograms of EBV DNA was detected and quantitated using a quantitative comparative real-time PCR (QC-PCR) assay (30) with EBV BZLF-1 gene-specific primers (forward, 5'-AAA TTT AAG AGA TCC TCG TGT AA ACA TC-3'; reverse, 5'-CGC CTC CTG TGG CCC CAG CAT AT-3') and a fluorogenic probe [5'-6-carboxyfluorescein)-ATA ATG GAG TCA ACA TCC AGG CTT GGG C- (6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine)-3']. The beta-globin gene was used as a positive control (forward, 5'- TGG TCA ATC TAC TCC CAG GA-3'; reverse, 5'-CAT GGT TGT TGG TGT AGG TTG C-3'); fluorogenic probe, 5'-6-carboxyfluorescein-CAG TGG TGG GCA TAA ACA AGC CAA G- (6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine)-3']. QC-PCR analysis was performed on an ABI Prism 7900 detection system (Applied Biosystems). Thermocycling conditions were 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 1 min for 40 cycles. Each reaction mixture contained 1X TaqMan Universal Master Mix with final concentrations of 5.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM deoxynucleoside triphosphates, 20 pmol each of forward and reverse primers, 10 pmol of TaqMan probe, and 0.5 U of Hotstart AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems) in a 20-μl volume in a 384-well plate. Experimental samples were run in triplicate, and the mean viral load was calculated. Each run had at least two “no-template” controls to check for amplicon contamination. DNA from the Namalwa cell line (American Type Culture Collection), which contains two copies of EBV per cell, was used to generate standard curves (30).

Electron microscopy. HL biopsy specimens were fixed in 1.5% Karnovsky fixative, postfixed in 1% Palade buffer, and then dehydrated and embedded. Sections were stained in uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and were examined with a JEM-1200EX electron microscope.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) assay. Cytossections of infected and uninfected tongue explants and HL sections were hybridized with a 555-bp EBV BMRF-2 biotinylated probe. Before hybridization samples were treated with 0.1% pepsin in 0.2% HCI for 10 min at 37°C. Cells on slides were denatured in 70% formamide-2× SSC (2× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7) at 70°C for 2 to 3 min and dehydrated in ethanol. Ten microliters of hybridization mixture, consisting of a total of 40 ng of labeled probe in 50% formamide-50% hybridization buffer, was denatured for 5 min at 95°C. Hybridization was performed overnight at 37°C under a coverslip in a moist chamber. For fluorescent probe detection, the slides were washed three times in 50% formamide-2× SSC at 60°C, blocked in 1% BSA-2× SSC for 5 min, and stained with Cy3-labeled streptavidin at a 1:100 dilution (Vector Laboratories) in 1% BSA-2× SSC. Next, the slides were washed in 2× SSC three times, and in the final step cell nuclei were counterstained with TO-PRO-3 iodide (Molecular Probes). Then cells were analyzed by confocal microscopy.

Statistical analysis. To compare differences in EBV copy numbers in monocytes and B lymphocytes between HIV-positive and HIV-negative individuals, QC-PCR data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test; P values of <0.05 were considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Mucosal epithelium of HL contains EBV-infected LC and macrophages. To analyze the presence of LC, macrophages,
and EBV infection in HL and normal oral epithelium, we immunostained cryosections of HL lesions and normal oral epithelium with antibodies to CD1a and CD68, which are expressed in LC and macrophages, respectively. LC were also identified morphologically by the presence of dendrocytes. A comparison of the numbers of LC and macrophages in 19 HL tissues and eight normal tongue/buccal explants showed that both LC and macrophages in HL mucosal epithelium were reduced in number compared with those in normal epithelium (Table 1). To examine the presence of EBV in HL epithelium, cryosections of all 19 HL biopsy samples were immunostained for EBV using serum containing high titers of anti-EBV VCA from a patient with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. As a negative control we used human serum that was EBV negative, as confirmed by Western blot assay with total EBV proteins (Fig. 1A) and by immunostaining of HL sections (Fig. 1B). Coimmunostaining of normal tongue sections with EBV-positive serum and markers for CD68 (Fig. 1C) and CD1a or CD14 (data not shown) did not show EBV-infected immune or epithelial cells. Confocal immunofluorescence analysis showed that the epithelium of all HL tissues was infected with EBV. Six HL tissues contained EBV-positive macrophages and LC (Fig. 1D and E; Table 1). These cells were detected both in the lamina propria and within keratinocytes (one to five cells/section). Coimmunostaining of EBV BZLF-1 protein with CD14 showed that in two of the six tissues the submucosal and intraepithelial CD14+ cells expressed BZLF-1 (Fig. 1F), indicating that these cells may have lytic EBV infection. Electron microscopy analysis of four HL lesions showed that three had suprabasal intraepithelial WBC (Table 1; Fig. 1G, green arrowheads) containing herpesvirus-like virions consistent with EBV (Fig. 1G, inset). EBV-positive intraepithelial B lymphocytes were not detected in any of the HL samples. Thus, analysis of HL sections showed a small number of EBV-infected LC and macrophages in the lamina propria and mucosal epithelium of HL, despite overall LC depletion compared with normal tissue.

EBV-infected submucosal monocytes/macrophages migrate into mucosal epithelium. The presence of EBV-positive LC and macrophages within the epithelial compartment of HL suggested that these cells might migrate from the lamina propria and disseminate virus within the epithelium. To explore this hypothesis experimentally, we established normal tongue and buccal tissue explants from healthy individuals. Twenty oral explants (15 tongue and five buccal) were infected with

![FIG. 2. EBV infection of ex vivo tongue explants. EBV-infected tongue explants were grown in vitro for 3 days and then sectioned and coimmunostained with EBV-specific human serum (green) and markers for CD14 (A), CD68 (B), CD1a (C), and CD86 (D) (all in red). Nuclei were stained in blue. Yellow in merged panels shows colocalization of EBV proteins with immune cell markers.](image-url)
cell-free EBV virions under floating conditions, and at 1, 2, 3, and 7 days postinfection tissues were fixed, sectioned, and coimmunostained for EBV proteins and immune cell markers. Confocal immunostaining analysis showed that in these explants the CD14⁺/H11001⁺/CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages (Fig. 2A and B), CD1a⁺ LC (Fig. 2C), and CD86⁺ activated LC/macrophages (Fig. 2D) were infected with EBV. EBV-infected immune cells were detected within the lamina propria and mucosal epithelium. To study the pattern of their distribution within the oral mucosal epithelium, the EBV-positive cells were quantitatively analyzed. At 1 day postinfection, the cells were detected predominantly in the lamina propria, and only a few (one to two cells/section) were detected within the mucosal epithelium (Fig. 3). At 2 and 3 days postinfection, the number of EBV-infected intraepithelial CD1a⁺ LC and CD68⁺ macrophages gradually increased to 6 to 12 cells/section (Fig. 3). At 7 days postinfection, the number of intraepithelial EBV-positive CD1a⁺ LC decreased by about twofold. EBV-infected intraepithelial CD86⁺-activated macrophages or LC were detected at 2, 3, and 7 days postinfection, and their numbers did not change significantly. EBV-positive cells expressing B-lymphocyte markers CD19 and CD20 were rare (approximately one to two cells/section) and were detected mostly in the lamina propria. Only 2 of 20 oral explants contained intraepithelial B lymphocytes, and they were rare (one to two cells/section) (Fig. 3). A small number of EBV-positive CD3⁺ cells (approximately one to two cells/section) were also detected in the mucosal epithelium in 3 of 20 tissues. The distribution pattern and migration efficiency of EBV-infected immune cells did not differ significantly between tongue and buccal explants. To confirm the migration of EBV-infected monocytes but not B lymphocytes into epithelium, we purified CD14⁺ and CD19⁺ cells from the peripheral blood of healthy volunteers and infected the cells with EBV. At 3 days postinfection cells were examined for BZLF-1 expression by immunofluorescence assay, and these results showed that approximately 50% of B lymphocytes and 80% of monocytes were positive for BZLF-1 expression (data not shown). These EBV-infected monocytes and B lymphocytes were used for cocultivation of tongue and buccal explants.

Thirteen (nine tongue and four buccal) oral explants were cocultivated with EBV-infected CD14⁺ cells for 2, 3, 4, and 7 days. Confocal immunostaining analysis of these explants showed intensive migration of EBV-infected macrophages and LC into oral epithelium. The highest number of intraepithelial macrophages and LC was detected at 3 days postcocultivation (20 cells/section), and numbers were reduced at 7 days post-
There were more EBV-infected intraepithelial macrophages than EBV-infected intraepithelial LC. Significant differences between tongue and buccal epithelium in migration of EBV-infected macrophages and LC were not observed. EBV-infected CD14<sup>+</sup>/CD68<sup>+</sup> cells were found close to the basement membrane (Fig. 5A, left), on the basement membrane (Fig. 5A, middle), and within the basal/parabasal epithelial cell layers (Fig. 5A, right). They had a shape consistent with motility, suggesting transmigration of submucosal macrophages into epithelium. EBV-infected intraepithelial CD1a<sup>+</sup>/CD68<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. 5B) and CD68<sup>+</sup> cells (data not shown) expressed the tight junction protein ZO-1, consistent with the ability of...
these cells to migrate by forming transient tight junctions with keratinocytes. Expression of ZO-1 and the migration pattern of EBV-infected macrophages/LC were not significantly different from those of uninfected macrophages/LC. About half of intraepithelial monocytes/macrophages were positive for BZLF-1, indicating that these cells had lytic EBV infection (Fig. 5C, right panels). Migration of CD14+/H11001 cells from the mucosal (apical) surface of the epithelium was not detected (Fig. 5C, upper right panel).

In parallel experiments six tongue explants were cocultured with EBV-infected B lymphocytes for 2 and 7 days. Immunostaining of these explants showed that the EBV-positive B lymphocytes had accumulated as a cluster on the mucosal surface of the tongue explants (Fig. 5C, upper left panel). Rare EBV-infected B lymphocytes (one to two cells/section) were detected in the lamina propria (Fig. 5C, lower left panel). Migration of EBV-infected B lymphocytes into the epithelium was not detected in any of the tissues cocultivated with EBV-infected CD19+ cells. Immunostaining of all six explants for CD138 showed that none had EBV-positive differentiated B lymphocytes. In these explants we detected a low number of EBV-infected CD68+ and CD1a+ cells in the lamina propria and mucosal epithelium (one to three cells/section).

**EBV-infected intraepithelial macrophages and LC facilitate spread of EBV infection to keratinocytes of the oral epithelium.** To determine whether virus could be transferred to oral mucosal keratinocytes by EBV-infected intraepithelial macrophages/LC, we immunostained all 20 tongue/buccal explants infected with cell-free EBV with antibodies to EBV proteins and keratin 1. Only 1 of 20 tongue tissues had a small cluster (9 to 10 cells) of EBV-infected keratinocytes within the spinosum layer (Fig. 6, top). Positive staining of these cells for EBV glycoprotein 350/220 (gp350/220) indicated lytic EBV infection. An EBV-infected, keratin-1-negative WBC was detected near the EBV-infected keratinocytes (Fig. 6, top, white arrows and inset). Detection of gp350/220- and BZLF-1-positive intraepithelial and submucosal CD14+ cells (Fig. 6, middle and bottom, respectively) in this tissue suggested that these monocytes/macrophages may migrate from the lamina propria into oral epithelium and infect the nearby oral keratinocytes.

Given the low rate of EBV infection of keratinocytes in explants exposed to cell-free EBV virions ex vivo, we next sought to determine whether oral mucosal keratinocytes could be infected by cocultivation of tissue explants with EBV-infected monocytes. We detected EBV-positive keratinocytes within the spinosum of 9 of 14 oral explants cocultivated with CD14+ cells. At 2 to 3 days of cocultivation a small number of EBV-infected keratinocytes were detected (~5 to 10 cells/section), and their numbers substantially increased after 4 (~10 to 20 cells/section), 7 (~40 to 50 cells/section), and 10 (~60 to 100 cells/section) days of cocultivation (Fig. 7A). EBV infection was detected within the spinosum layers of the oral...

**FIG. 6. Detection of EBV-infected monocytes and epithelial cells in tongue tissue explants.** Tongue explant was infected with cell-free EBV and at 3 days postinfection was coimmunostained for EBV proteins and markers for CD14 or keratin 1. Upper panels show costaining with mouse MAb to gp350/220 (green) and rabbit antiserum to keratin 1 (red). Middle panels show costaining with mouse MAb to gp350/220 and rabbit antiserum to CD14 (red). Lower panels show costaining with mouse MAb to BZLF-1 (green) and rabbit antiserum to CD14 (red). White arrowheads and insets in upper panels show EBV-infected WBC in close proximity to EBV-infected keratinocytes. Nuclei were stained in blue.
epithelium but not in the basal and parabasal layers. EBV infection of oral epithelial cells was also confirmed by FISH assay using an EBV-specific DNA probe (Fig. 7B). FISH staining was detected in the nuclei of the small foci of keratinocytes in the spinosum layer of EBV-infected tongue explants (Fig. 7B, left). The EBV-specific DNA probe did not detect EBV signals in uninfected tissue explants (Fig. 7B, middle) but did detect EBV DNA in all keratinocytes of the spinosum and granulosum layers of HL epithelium (Fig. 7B, right). In oral explants co-cultivated with EBV-infected CD14<sup>+</sup> cells, the EBV-positive CD68<sup>+</sup> and CD1a<sup>+</sup> cells were detected in close proximity to the EBV-infected keratinocytes (Fig. 7C, white arrowhead).

Analysis of explants co-cultured with EBV-infected B lymphocytes showed that a small number of EBV-infected keratinocytes (approximately five cells/section) was detected in three of six oral explants after 7 days of co-cultivation (data not shown). However, in these explants EBV-positive intraepithelial B lymphocytes were not detected, in contrast to the detection of EBV-positive macrophages and LC (approximately one to two cells/section) in the mucosal epithelium.

Inhibition of monocyte migration into oral epithelium reduces EBV spread to keratinocytes within the oral epithelium. Transmigration of monocytes from lamina propria into mucosal epithelium is mediated by the chemotactic function of MCP-1, which is secreted in mucosal epithelial cells and binds with the CCR2 receptor of monocytes in the lamina propria (16, 26). To inhibit monocyte transmigration into epithelium, we incubated EBV-infected monocytes with or without antibodies to CCR2 before co-cultivating them with explants from
three tongue biopsy samples. Each of these tongue tissue explants was divided into two pieces: one piece was incubated with antibodies to MCP-1, and the other piece was left untreated. The treated tissue explants were then cocultivated with the treated monocytes for the next 7 days. Explants cocultivated with untreated monocytes served as a control. Immunostaining analysis of these three explants showed that transmigration of CCR2-antibody-treated monocytes into epithelium in explants treated with antibodies to MCP-1 was three to fourfold lower than that in control explants (Fig. 7D, top). In explants treated with antibodies to MCP-1, the number of EBV-positive keratinocytes was about fivefold less (Fig. 7D, bottom) than that in control explants, indicating that transmigration of EBV-infected monocytes into epithelium mediates the spread of EBV to keratinocytes within the oral epithelium.

Peripheral blood monocytes from HIV-positive patients contain EBV. Our data show that EBV dissemination to keratinocytes within oral mucosal epithelium is mediated by EBV-infected macrophages and/or LC. The likely source of these cells in vivo is peripheral blood monocytes. To characterize EBV infection of peripheral blood monocytes, we isolated peripheral blood monocytes from 50 HIV-negative and 28 HIV-positive individuals (Fig. 8A). FACS analysis showed that the purity of monocyte populations from HIV-negative and -positive individuals was between 95 and 99% (Fig. 8B). The B lymphocytes were found at a frequency of 0.1 to 0.5% in monocyte populations. Analysis of EBV DNA by real-time PCR showed that 47% of HIV-negative and 69% of HIV-positive individuals had EBV-infected peripheral blood monocytes (Fig. 8A). The EBV copy number in monocytes from the HIV-negative individuals ranged from 50 to 5 × 10^4 EBV copies per 10^6 cells. In contrast, the copy number of EBV in monocytes of HIV-positive individuals was approximately two-fold higher, ranging from 10^5 to 10^6 EBV copies per 10^6 cells. The EBV copy number in B lymphocytes of HIV-positive individuals was approximately 10-fold higher than that in HIV-negative individuals, ranging from 10^5 to 10^6 EBV copies per 10^6 cells.

EBV may spread from B lymphocytes to monocytes. It is well established that the main reservoir of latent EBV infection in vivo is the B-lymphocyte population. To test the hypothesis that EBV infection in monocytes may derive from B lymphocytes, we isolated primary CD19^+ B lymphocytes and CD14^+ monocytes from HIV-negative individuals, and both of these cell types were shown to be negative for VCA p18 (Fig. 8C, top and middle). The B lymphocytes were infected with EBV, and at 3 days postinfection immunostaining of VCA p18 showed that approximately 80% of B lymphocytes were positive for this protein (Fig. 8C, bottom). These cells were then cocultivated with circulating monocytes from the same donor at a 1:1 ratio. Three days later the mixed culture of B lymphocytes and monocytes was coimmunostained for CD20, CD14, and EBV VCA p18 (Fig. 8D). Confocal microscopy analysis showed that in this mixed culture, approximately 80% of monocytes were positive for EBV (Fig. 8D, merged panel, yellow signals and arrowheads), indicating that EBV-infected B lymphocytes may transmit virus to the monocytes.

DISCUSSION

Accumulating evidence indicates that infection of circulating monocytes by herpesviruses, including human cytomegalovirus, Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, and herpesvirus 6, may play a critical role in establishing a reservoir for latent infection in the blood compartment (2, 20, 22, 24, 37–39). EBV infection of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells has been observed in vitro and in vivo (15, 19, 25, 32, 33, 36). Detection of EBV-infected macrophages in healthy asymptomatic individuals (36) suggests that, like B lymphocytes, circulating monocytes and tissue macrophages may serve as a reservoir for EBV infection. We also found EBV-positive circulating monocytes in both HIV-negative and HIV-positive individuals.

The presence of EBV DNA in circulating monocytes has been shown recently by Schlitt et al. (33). Since circulating monocytes can migrate to tissue sites (17), including oral mucosa (5, 10, 34), EBV-infected monocytes may serve as a vehicle for virus transmission between the blood compartment and oral epithelium. Detection of similar EBV strains (29) in WBC and HL and the absence of reinfection of epithelium by WBC of EBV-eradicated bone marrow transplants (11) suggest that EBV infection of oral epithelium may occur from the blood compartment.

Consistent with this hypothesis, our data from oral tissue explants infected ex vivo with cell-free and cell-associated EBV showed that EBV-infected macrophage and dendritic cell precursors from the lamina propria migrate into the mucosal epithelium and infect oral keratinocytes within the spinosum layer. Expression of tight junction proteins in EBV-infected intraepithelial macrophages/LC indicated their migrating status (6, 40). Reduction of EBV infection of oral keratinocytes by inhibiting migration of EBV-infected monocytes to the mucosal epithelium using CCR2 and MCP-1 antibodies indicated that EBV-infected monocytes may play a key role in EBV dissemination within the oral epithelium. Also consistent with infection of epithelium from the blood compartment was our observation that the mucosal surface of oral epithelium was resistant to EBV infection, as well as our earlier findings that EBV did not enter from the apical surface of polarized oral keratinocytes (42). This mechanism of spread of EBV to epithelium may also be occurring in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, in which both keratinocytes (8) and intraepithelial LC of skin T-cell lymphoma were shown to be positive for EBV (19).

In comparison with EBV-positive monocytes, migration of EBV-infected B lymphocytes into mucosal epithelium was rare and inefficient. However, we cannot completely exclude a role for EBV-infected B lymphocytes in virus spread within the oral epithelium. Rare EBV-infected intraepithelial B and T lymphocytes have been described previously in nasopharyngeal mucosa (41). EBV replication in vivo occurs in terminally differentiated plasma B lymphocytes (21), and these cells may migrate into mucosal epithelium and play a role in virus dissemination within the mucosal epithelium. We did not detect EBV-infected submucosal or intraepithelial CD138^+ plasma B lymphocytes in any of the tissue explants that were cocultivated with EBV-infected B lymphocytes. This could reflect the lack of essential factors for terminal differentiation of B lymphocytes in our ex vivo explant system, and if so, our system may not be sufficient to fully evaluate the role of B lymphocytes in...
EBV spread within the oral epithelium. However, the lack of EBV-infected CD19<sup>+</sup> cells in HL strongly suggests that the role of B lymphocytes in EBV spreading to oral epithelium is limited at best. Furthermore, it has been shown that EBV infection in B lymphocytes inhibits CXCR4 expression on the B-lymphocyte surface (9, 27), leading to reduced migration of EBV-infected B lymphocytes toward stromal cell-derived factor 1 in vitro (9). Stromal cell-derived factor 1 expression has been detected in tonsil epithelium (4), and we also detected its expression in tongue and buccal mucosal epithelium (data not shown). Thus, the absence or low level of EBV-infected B-lymphocyte migration into oral mucosal epithelium may be due to inhibition of CXCR4 expression, consistent with a lack of a significant role for B lymphocytes in EBV dissemination within the oral epithelium.

**FIG. 8.** Spread of EBV between B lymphocytes and monocytes in vivo and in vitro. (A) CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes and CD19<sup>+</sup> B lymphocytes were isolated from PBMC of HIV-positive and -negative individuals. Detection and quantification of EBV DNA were performed using a QC-PCR assay. EBV DNA copy numbers are expressed on the logarithmic scale as numbers of copies per 1 million cells. Each data symbol indicates one sample. (B) Purity of monocytes was examined using antibodies to CD14 and CD20 and isotype control antibodies to CD14. (C) (Top and middle) Freshly isolated B lymphocytes and monocytes from HIV-negative individuals were coimmunostained with antibodies to EBV VCA p18 and CD20 or CD14 markers, respectively. (Bottom) B lymphocytes were infected with EBV at 10 virions/cell for 3 days, and cells were coimmunostained for CD20 and VCA p18. Yellow in the merged panel shows EBV-infected B lymphocytes. (D) EBV-infected B lymphocytes were cocultivated with monocytes from the same donor at a ratio of 1:1 for 3 days. Cocultured cells were triply coimmunostained for CD20, CD14, and VCA p18. The light blue signals (red arrowheads) in the merged section show EBV-infected B lymphocytes, and yellow signals (yellow arrowheads) show EBV-infected monocytes.
Detection of EBV infection in keratinocytes in only 1 of 20 tongue explants infected with cell-free EBV indicated that cell-free EBV infection of keratinocytes was very inefficient. In contrast, EBV infection of keratinocytes of tongue explants cocultivated with EBV-infected monocytes was highly efficient, indicating that dissemination of EBV to keratinocytes depends on the manner of EBV presentation to these cells, the number of EBV-infected monocytes, and the duration of their exposure to the explants. A small number of EBV-infected keratinocytes (approximately five cells/section) was detected in three oral explants cocultivated with EBV-infected B lymphocytes. In these explants EBV-infected intraepithelial B lymphocytes were not found, indicating that these B lymphocytes were not able to spread virus within the oral epithelium. In contrast, EBV-infected submucosal and intraepithelial macrophages/LC were detected in these explants. While it has been shown elsewhere that B lymphocytes may transfer membrane-bound EBV virions into epithelial cells (35), our data show that EBV may spread from B lymphocytes and into monocytes. The presence of EBV-infected macrophages/LC in these explants suggests that a likelier scenario is that EBV-infected B lymphocytes in the lamina propria transferred EBV to macrophages/LC, and these EBV-infected macrophages/LC then migrated into the epithelium and spread virus the keratinocytes (Fig. 9). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the monocytes could be infected with EBV in the circulating blood compartment from B lymphocytes. If this were to occur, these EBV-infected circulating monocytes subsequently might migrate into mucosal epithelium, differentiate into macrophages and LC, and disseminate EBV within the oral epithelium (Fig. 9).

Like the explants infected with EBV ex vivo, analysis of HL tissues also showed the presence of EBV-infected submucosal and intraepithelial macrophages and LC. Individuals with HIV infection have both higher numbers of circulating EBV-infected monocytes and higher EBV copy numbers per cell than HIV-negative individuals. While we cannot exclude possible detection of EBV in the monocyte populations due to B-lymphocyte contamination, the level of B-lymphocyte contamination of the monocyte population was low at 0.1 to 0.5%, and this small number of cells could not account for the high EBV copy numbers in the monocyte population. EBV infection of circulating monocytes could be due to EBV reactivation in B lymphocytes (23) in the setting of HIV-associated immunosuppression. Migration of EBV-infected monocytes into oral mucosal epithelium may then spread EBV infection to keratinocytes within the epithelium and initiate development of HL.

It has been shown elsewhere that EBV replication is activated in the tongue in the early stages of HIV infection, well before HL becomes clinically apparent (3). The depletion of EBV-positive intraepithelial macrophages and LC in HL lesions (7) also suggests that intense migration of EBV-infected monocytes into oral epithelium might occur at an early stage of HL development. Reduction of EBV-infected intraepithelial macrophages/LC at 7 days post-infection of oral explants suggested that EBV infection might cause the death
of these cells. Alternatively, detection of CD86 in EBV-infected intraepithelial macrophages/LC suggested that these activated immune cells may migrate in the opposite direction, i.e., from the epithelium toward the lamina propria (1). Thus, EBV infection of oral mucosal macrophages/LC may be involved in depletion of macrophages/LC in HL epithelium.

In summary, our findings show that intraepithelial EBV-infected monocytes/macrophages/LC may play a key role in development of the HL lesion during immunosuppression, particularly at the early stages of lesion development before macrophages/LC cells become depleted. This may also be the major mechanism by which low-level EBV infection of oral epithelium occurs in an ongoing basis in healthy individuals.
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